# anni

# Aromaticity-Dependent Regioselectivity in Pd(II)-Catalyzed C−H Direct Arylation of Aryl Ureas

Pingping Jiang,† Feng Li,† Yongbao Xu, Qingwen Liu, Jing Wang, Hong Ding, Renfu Yu, and Qifeng W[an](#page-3-0)g\*

International Joint Re[sea](#page-3-0)rch Laboratory of Nano-Micro Architecture Chemistry (NMAC), Department of Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, 2699 Qianjin Street, Changchun 130012, P. R. China

## **S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-3-0)STRACT: [Palladium-cat](#page-3-0)alyzed C−H direct arylation generally occurs on the ortho-position of directing groups. By comparing meta-arylated products of 2-naphthyl urea to orthoarylated products of phenyl urea, the ortho- and metaregioselectivity of aryl ureas were found to depend on the aromaticity of the corresponding aryl substituents. Thus, aromaticity is a new factor which can affect the regioselectivity in C−H direct arylation. The finding was further confirmed by regioselective direct arylation of indole and pyrrole derivatives.

D irect C−H functionalization is one of the most powerful<br>construction of<br>C C bonds<sup>1</sup> A serious problem in this field is the control of C−C bonds.<sup>1</sup> A serious problem in this field is the control of regioselectivity when multiple C−H bonds exist in one molecule. B[as](#page-3-0)ed on the Directed ortho Metalation theory, transition metal catalyzed ortho C−H bond direct functionalization could be realized regioselectively via the C−M bond formed in situ  $(M =$  transition metal). In recent years, chemists have begun developing efficient methods for achieving remote C−H bond activation.<sup>2</sup> For example, Yu et al. reported a covalent template strategy for remote C−H activation and norbornene as a trans[ie](#page-3-0)nt mediator for meta-C−H activatio $n^{2a,b}$  Gaunt et al. reported copper(II) catalyzed *meta*-arylation of aromatics using diaryliodonium salts as coupling partners.<sup>2c</sup> [How](#page-3-0)ever, only very few studies on direct arylation of C−H bonds adjacent to in situ generated C−M bonds have be[en](#page-3-0) reported (Scheme  $1$ ).<sup>3</sup> Such a strategy not only can realize

## Scheme 1. Direct Ar[yla](#page-3-0)tion of C−H Bond Adjacent to the C−M Bond



remote C−H bond activation but also can supply possibilities for new chemical transformations. Very recently, our group reported the palladium-catalyzed *meta*-direct arylation of  $β$ naphthyl carbamate via an ortho-metalation/meta-direct arylation pathway.<sup>4</sup> However, direct arylation of phenyl carbamates under the same conditions proved unsuccessful, which made the nature of [t](#page-3-0)he regiochemical outcome puzzling. To give a clear interpretation of this unique regioselectivity, we report herein the results of the direct arylation of aryl urea derivatives. The degree of aromaticity of the aryl groups was revealed as an



unexpected factor that affects the regioselectivity of C−H bond direct arylation.

At the beginning of this work, the reaction of 2-naphthyl urea 1a with o-tolylboronic acid 2a was performed under our previously reported conditions (entry 1 in Table 1). Under these conditions, 2-naphthyl urea 1a decomposed completely and no arylated products were generated. W[e thus tu](#page-1-0)rned our attention to identifying suitable oxidative conditions for this coupling reaction. In entry 2, when  $K_2S_2O_8$  was used as the oxidant in a mixture of toluene and acetic acid, $5$  the monoarylated product was generated in 55% crude yield (as estimated by NMR), despite the presence of byproducts [w](#page-3-0)hich were difficult to remove. With benzoquinone as the oxidant, the corresponding monoarylated product was not detected (entry 3). By changing the shoichiometry of the oxidant to 3.0 equiv of PhCO<sub>3</sub>Bu-t, the estimated yield of monoarylated product was identified as 76%, with complete decomposition of the remaining starting material 1a (entry 4). Although the use of a combination of 2.0 equiv of  $PhCO<sub>3</sub>Bu-t$  with 1.0 equiv of  $Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O$  gave the monoarylated product in a relatively low yield (63%), starting material 1a was recoverd, thus providing the possibility for further improvement (entry 5). In entry 6, the estimated yield from NMR data could be slightly improved to 69% when the loading of coupling partner 2a was raised to 3 equiv. The reaction was found to be strongly affected by the acidity of the solvent. In entry 7, the reaction proceeded very poorly in toluene, giving the monoarylated product in only 39% NMR yield. In contrast, we were delighted to see the reaction proceeding smoothly in HOAc, with a 79% yield in the arylated product. With an additional 1.0 equiv of TsOH·H<sub>2</sub>O, the yield could be further improved to 85%, with

Received: October 31, 2015 Published: November 17, 2015

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for C−H Bond Direct Arylation



 $\alpha$ Yields were calculated according to <sup>1</sup>H NMR using 4-methoxyphenol as internal standard.  ${}^{b}N.D.$  = not detected.  ${}^{c}3.0$  equiv of 2a were used.

complete consumption of 1a. Therefore, the reaction was optimally performed using 5 mol %  $Pd(OAc)_2$  as a catalyst precursor, 2 equiv of PhCO<sub>3</sub>Bu-t and 1 equiv of Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  as oxidants, and 2 equiv of TsOH $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O as an additive at 25 °C in HOAc under an air atmosphere.

Under these optimized conditions, we examined the scope of aryl boronic acids and identified the structure of monoarylated 2-naphthyl urea (Table 2). In entry 1, the isolated yield of 3aa was 78%. Phenyl boronic acid provided the monoarylated product 3ab in 64% isolated yield (entry 2). By removing the N,N-dimethyl carbamic group, the resulting compound could be confidently assigned as the meta-phenylated 2-naphthyl amine (see Supporting Information  $(SI)$ ).<sup>6</sup> Phenyl boronic acid bearing a methyl group on the para- or meta-position of the phenyl ring [could be transformed in](#page-3-0)to th[e](#page-3-0) corresponding metaarylated products 3ac and 3ad in 66% and 64% yields, respectively (entry 3 and 4). Electron-rich p-methoxyphenyl boronic acid could give the meta-arylated product 3ae in 63% isolated yield (entry 5). Phenyl boronic acids bearing electronwithdrawing substituents such as  $p$ -F,  $p$ -Cl, and  $m$ -Cl groups provided the meta-arylated products 3af, 3ag, and 3ah in 60%, 52%, and 53% yields, respectively (entries 6, 7, and 8). We also tested the reactivity of polyaromatic boronic acids. Both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -naphthyl boronic acids could react smoothly with 1a to

## Table 2. Scope Of Aryl Boronic Acids In Direct meta-Arylation of 1a



 $a$ Isolated yields.  $b$ 1.5 equiv of 1a were used.

generate meta-arylated products 3ai and 3aj in moderate to good yields (entries 9, 10). 4-Biphenylboronic acid 2k could also be successfully introduced on the meta-position of 1a, giving 3ak in 78% yield (entry 11). To further confirm the

regioselectivity, X-ray diffraction of compound 3ah was performed, and its connectivity was verified (see SI).

Next, direct arylation of phenyl ureas was investigated. As shown in Scheme 2, under the same optimized [con](#page-3-0)ditions as

#### Scheme 2. Direct Arylation of Phenyl Urea with Arylboronic Acids under Optimized Conditions



described above, phenylboronic acid and its electron-rich and -poor derivatives gave exclusively ortho-arylated products. Although the electron-rich arylboronic acids gave ortho-arylated products in lower yields (3bc, 3be, and 3bn), no meta- or paraarylated products were formed. Changing the solvent to toluene, the yields were effectively improved. The regioselectivity in this reaction is consistent with that in Lipshutz and coworkers' work<sup>7</sup> and unambiguously demonstrated that directed ortho-metalation could occur in this catalytic system.

According t[o](#page-3-0) the different regioselectivity of 2-naphthyl urea and phenyl urea, we conclude that the difference in nucleophilicity and aromaticity of the aryl groups lead to different reaction pathways. A proposed mechanism is depicted in Scheme 3. After coordination of the palladium catalyst to the directing group, electrophilic attack of the palladium catalyst to the ortho-position of the urea substituent occurs, generating the corresponding C−Pd bond. In this step, ortho-C−H bond cleavage may occur via  $S_{E}Ar$ , concerted metalation/deprotonation (CMD) or other mechanisms, generating intermediate A in Cycle 1. Nevertheless, dearomatization of aryl groups can alternatively proceed, giving a carbocationic species D in Cycle 2. The competition between ortho C−H bond cleavage and dearomatization leads to different reaction pathways. Since the aromaticity of a phenyl ring is stronger than a single aromatic ring of the naphthyl group, C−H bond cleavage occurs and ortho-arylated product C is formed via successive transmetalation and reductive elimination (Cycle 1). On the other hand, 2-naphthyl urea undergoes dearomatization in one aromatic ring, generating benzylic carbocationic species D. Intermediate D undergoes transmetalation with aryl boronic acids, followed by intramolecular nucleophilic rearrangement and rearomatization to give the final meta-arylated product H (Cycle 2 in Scheme 3).<sup>4</sup>

Because heteroarenes have a low degree of aromaticity, we used indole and pyrrole [d](#page-3-0)erivatives as the substrates to further study this mechanism. As shown in Scheme 4, using N-propyl-2-methylindoles to react with phenylboronic acid 2b under our reaction conditions, we found the [starting m](#page-3-0)aterial gradually decomposed and no arylated products could be detected. In contrast, changing the indole N-substituent to N-dimethylcarbomic (1d) led to  $\beta$ -arylated product 1db in 33% isolated yield. This result proved the necessity of the directing group for  $\beta$ -





arylation. As mentioned in Scheme 3, once the ortho C−H bond is cleaved, ortho-arylation occurs. With a more stable ortho C−C bond, dearomatization becomes the only possible pathway. Therefore,  $\beta$ -arylated product 1db could be obtained via Cycle 2. Under the same conditions, we changed the substrate to 1e bearing an an  $\alpha$ -C−H bond and the  $\alpha$ -arylated product 1eb was formed exclusively in 42% isolated yield, indicating the formation of  $\alpha$ -C−Pd bond and its tendency in ortho C−H bond arylation versus β-arylation and dearomatization. Because it has been reported that  $Cu^{2+}$  could arguably affect the regioselectivity of indole derivatives, $8$  we also changed the oxidant to  $K_2S_2O_8$  to confirm the  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -regioselectivity. Under condition  $b$  in Scheme 4,  $\beta$ -arylated [p](#page-3-0)roduct 1db was obtained in 62% isolated yield and  $\alpha$ -arylated product 1eb was isolated in 72% yield. [It should](#page-3-0) be stressed that N-propyl-2 methylindole was still inert to phenylboronic acid 2b under condition b, even though the solvent was diluted with toluene to avoid its decomposition (condition  $c$ ). Pyrrole derivatives were also tested under these two conditions. Although most of the starting material 1g decomposed under the oxidative conditions,  $\alpha$ -arylated N-pyrrole carbamate 1gb was isolated in 16% and 6% yields under condition a and b, respectively. Using 2,5-dimethyl pyrrole carbamate as the substrate, decomposition of 1f proceeded very rapidly in the presence of  $PhCO<sub>3</sub>Bu-t$  and  $Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O$ , but  $\beta$ -arylated product 1fb was obtained in

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 4. Regioselective Direct Arylation of Indole and Pyrrole Derivatives under Different Conditions



24% isolated yield when  $K_2S_2O_8$  was used as the oxidant (Condition b). These results are similar to those obtained in the indole experiments and are consistent with our proposed mechanism.

In conclusion, we have developed a method for the direct meta-arylation of 1,1-dimethyl-3-(naphthalen-2-yl) urea. The reaction proceeded in an ortho-metalation/meta-direct arylation process. By comparing the regiochemical outcome in the direct arylation of 2-naphthyl urea versus phenyl, the important relationship between substrate aromaticity and selectivity became clear. This finding was further confirmed by performing the direct arylation of indole and pyrrole derivatives with aryl boronic acid. This finding will be potentially helpful for remote C−H bond functionalization of diverse polyaromatics.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.5b03153.

Experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds (PDF)

Crystallographic data for 3ah (CIF)

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: wangqifeng@jlu.edu.cn.

# Author Contributions

† P.J. and F.L. contributed equally.

# **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21202060) and Creative Program in Jilin University (No. 336OEP06).

# ■ REFERENCES

(1) For recent reviews, please see: (a) Zhang, X.-S.; Chen, K.; Shi, Z.- J. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2146−2159. (b) Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 369−375. (c) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788−802.

(2) (a) Wang, X.-C.; Gong, W.; Fang, L.-Z.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Li, S.; Engle, K.; Yu, J.-Q. Nature 2015, 519, 334−338. (b) Leow, D.; Li, G.; Mei, T.-S.; Yu, J.-Q. Nature 2012, 486, 518−522. (c) Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. Science 2009, 323, 1593−1597. (d) Schranck, J.; Tlili, A.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9426−9428. (e) Teskey, C. J.; Lui, A. Y. W.; Greaney, M. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11677-11680. (f) Luo, J.; Preciado, S.; Larrosa, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 4109−4112. (g) Lee, S.; Lee, H.; Tan, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18778−18781. (h) Bera, M.; Modak, A.; Patra, T.; Maji, A.; Maiti, D. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5760−5763. (i) Dong, Z.; Wang, J.; Dong, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 5887–5890. (j) Saidi, O.; Marafie, J.; Ledger, A.; Liu, P.; Mahon, M.; Kociok-Kö hn, G.; Whittlesey, M.; Frost, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19298−19301. (k) Hofmann, N.; Ackermann, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5877−5884.

(3) (a) Ueda, K.; Yanagisawa, S.; Yamaguchi, J.; Itami, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8946−8949. (b) Chen, B.; Hou, X.; Li, Y.; Wu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7668-7671.

(4) Zhang, J.; Liu, Q.; Liu, X.; Zhang, S.; Jiang, P.; Wang, Y.; Luo, S.; Li, Y.; Wang, Q. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1297−1300.

(5) Houlden, C.; Bailey, C.; Ford, J.; Gagne, M.; Lloyd-Jones, G.; ́ Booker-Milburn, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10066−10067.

(6) Cappelli, A.; Anzini, M.; Vomero, S. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 1556−1575.

(7) Nishikata, T.; Abela, A.; Huang, S.; Lipshutz, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4978−4979.

(8) Stuart, D. R.; Villemure, E.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12072−12073.

5921